

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,956	09/18/2003	Maria Alexandra Glucksmann	MPI00-368P1RCN1M	8337
7590 07/06/2005			EXAMINER	
MILLENNIUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.			ULM, JOHN D	
Intellectual Prop 75 Sidney Stree	perty Department		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cambridge, MA 02139			1649	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,956	GLUCKSMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John D. Ulm	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22,47-52 and 72 is/are pending in the	ne application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22 47-52 72</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	* .*		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	d in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4	
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list (or the certified copies not received	J.	
Aug. 4			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	مرين السامة المرادي ال	BTO 442)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	te	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)	
	5/ [_] Ouler		

Application/Control Number: 10/665,956

Art Unit: 1649

1) Claims 1 to 22, 47 to 52 and 72 are pending in the instant application.

Claims 23 to 46 53 to 71 and 73 to 104 have been canceled as requested by Applicant in the correspondence filed 18 September of 2003.

Page 2

- 2) Claims 11 and 13 to 21 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. A properly dependant claim can not conceivably be infringed without infringing any of the claims from which it depends. See M.P.E.P. 608.01(n)III.
- 3) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 to 7 and 12, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide and method of use, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - II. Claims 8 to 10, drawn to an isolated polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - III. Claim 11, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 388.22.
 - IV. Claims 13, 14, 32 and 33, drawn to a method of detecting a polypeptide in a sample, classified in class 436, subclass 501.
 - V. Claim 15, drawn to a kit comprising a compound of unspecified constitution which specifically binds to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 388.22, for example.

Art Unit: 1649

VI. Claims 16 to 18, 23, 28, 47 to 51 and 72, in so far as they are drawn to a kit containing a nucleic acid probe and a method of detecting a nucleic acid in a sample, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

- VII. Claims 19, 20, 22, 47 to 52 and 72, in so far as they are drawn to a receptor binding assay, classified in class 435, subclass 7.21, for example.
- VIII. Claim 21, drawn to a method of modulating the activity of a protein by administering a compound of unspecified constitution, classification undeterminable.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

The polynucleotide that is invention I, the polypeptide that is invention II, the antibody that is invention III, the binding agent of unspecified constitution that is invention V and the polynucleotide probe that is invention VI are five structurally and functionally different chemical compounds each of which can be made and used without any one or more of the other compounds. Lack of unity is shown because these compounds lack a common utility which is based upon a common structural feature which has been identified as the basis for that common utility.

Inventions III and V are related to inventions IV and VIII as products and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the

Art Unit: 1649

different processes that are inventions IV and IX. The processes of inventions IV and IX are materially different because they achieve different objects by employing different method steps. Inventions are materially different if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).

The host cell included in invention I and the isolated polypeptide of invention II are each related to the binding assay of invention VII as product and process of use. They are shown to be distinct because the process, as claimed, can be practiced with either an intact cell or an isolated protein, which are two materially different products.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Application/Control Number: 10/665,956 Page 5

Art Unit: 1649

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0880. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800